1	MAYER BROWN LLP	**E-Filed 8/12/2011**	
2	Edward D. Johnson (SBN 189475)		
3	wjohnson@mayerbrown.com Donald M. Falk (SBN 150256)		
4	dfalk@mayerbrown.com John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)		
5	jneukom@mayerbrown.com Hamsa M. Murthy (SBN 274745) hmurthy@mayerbrown.com Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 Telephone: (650) 331-2000 Facsimile: (650) 331-2060		
6			
7			
8			
9	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
13	RICK WOODS, Individually and On Behalf of Others Similarly Situated,		
14	Plaintiff,	Case No. 5:11-cv-01263-JF	
15	V.	JOINT STIPULATIONAND [PROPOSED] ORDER TEMPORARILY	
16	GOOGLE INC.,	STAYING DISCOVERY	
17	,		
18	Defendant.		
19	The parties jointly enter into the following stipulation:		
20	1. On June 24, 2011, Defendant Google Inc. ("Google") filed its Motion for		
21	Temporary Stay of Discovery (the "Motion"). Dkt. No. 51. In the Motion, Google		
22	requested that the Court temporarily "stay discovery pending resolution of the pleadings in		
23	this case." See Motion at 9. The Motion is calendared for a hearing on August 12, 2011.		
24	2. In a hearing on July 8, 2011, the Court asked that Woods "wait until [he]		
25	g[o]t an indication from [the Court] before starting discovery," and further instructed		
26	Woods not to "pursue any discovery until [the Court] ha[d] an opportunity to consider		
27	[Google's Motion for a Temporary Stay of Discovery]." 7/8/11 Transcript of Proceedings		

at 29-30. To date, Plaintiff Woods ("Woods") has not sought any discovery from Google.

28

Case 5:11-cv-01263-EJD Document 67 Filed 08/12/11 Page 2 of 3

1	3. On August 10, 2011, the Court entered an order dismissing Woods'		
2	complaint with leave to amend (the "Dismissal Order"). Dkt. No. 64.		
3	4. Given the Dismissal Order, the parties hereby agree not to seek any		
4	discovery from the other in this action unless and until the Court finds by written order that		
5	at least one claim has been adequately pled against Google.		
6	5. Based on this stipulation, Google agrees to withdraw its Motion without		
7	prejudice.		
8	Dated: August 11, 2011	MAYER BROWN LLP	
9		/s/ Edward D. Johnson	
10		Edward D. Johnson	
11		Donald M. Falk	
11		John M. Neukom	
12		Hamsa M. Murthy Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.	
13	Dated: August 11, 2011	NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH, LLP	
14		/s/ Brad E. Seidel	
15		Jeffrey J. Angelovich Brad E. Seidel	
16	KESSLER TOPAZ MELTZER & CHECK, LLP		
17		Ramzi Abadou	
18		Stacey M. Kaplan Erik D. Peterson	
10		Sean M. Handler	
19	Joseph H. Meltzer		
20	Peter H. LeVan, Jr. Naumon A. Amjed		
21		Ryan T. Degnan	
22		Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class	
23	I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Brad		
24	E. Seidel for Plaintiff.		
25		By:/s/ Edward D. Johnson	
26		· ————————————————————————————————————	
27			
28			

1 /

<u>'[PROPOSED]</u> ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, it is hereby ORDERED that discovery in this action is stayed until the Court finds by written order that at least one claim has been adequately pled against Google.

Judge eremy D. Hog A